STUDY ON TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL OF SYLHET BY MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS ASSOCIATED WITH HEALTH HAZARDS

*¹J. B. Alam, ²M. Jobair Bin Alam, ³M. M. Rahman, ⁴A. K. Dikshit, ¹S. K. Khan

¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet

²Civil Engineering Department, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology

³Graduate Student, UTM, Mayalasia

⁴Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai, India

Received 11 November 2005; revised 7 Febuary 2006; accepted 12 March 2006

ABSTRACT

The study reports the level of traffic-induced noise pollution in Sylhet City. For this purpose noise levels have been measured at thirty-seven major locations of the city from 7 am to 11 pm during the working days. It was observed that at all the locations the level of noise remains far above the acceptable limit for all the time. The noise level on the main road near residential area, hospital area and educational area were above the recommended level (65dBA). It was found that the predictive equations are in 60-70% correlated with the measured noise level. The study suggests that vulnerable institutions like school and hospital should be located about 60m away from the roadside unless any special arrangement to alleviate sound is used.

Key words: Sound Level, noise pollution, multiple regression, health hazard

INTRODUCTION

Noise is unacceptable level of sound that creates annoyance, hampers mental and physical peace, and may induce severe damage to the health. Along with the increasing degree of air and water pollution, noise pollution is also emerging as a new threat to the inhabitants of Sylhet City. Exposure to high level of noise may cause severe stress on the auditory and nervous system of the city dwellers, particularly the children. Motorized traffic is one of the major sources of noise pollution in urban areas. Frequent exposure to high level of noise hampers physical and mental peace and may cause damage to the health. Along with the increasing degree of air and water pollution, the inhabitants of Sylhet City are being exposed to high level of noise pollution. The level of noise pollution is very closely related with urbanization and motorization. Although there are many sources of noise, which include industries, construction works and indiscriminate use of loud speakers,

motorized traffic is the principal source of creating noise in urban areas. During the 70s and early 80s, noise pollution was not a major concern for the dwellers of Sylhet City. With the increase of in the number of motorized vehicles in the city, the hazard of noise pollution has increased and exceeded the level of tolerance. Exposure to high level of noise causes severe stress on the auditory and nervous system. Das (2001) reported that the hearing ability of the inhabitants of the City has reduced during the last ten years. About five to seven percent of the patients admitted to the Sylhet Osmani Medical College are suffering from permanent deafness due to noise pollution (Ahmed, 1998). Disturbances created by noise may cause hypertension, headache, indigestion, peptic ulcer, pharyngitis, atherosclosis, bradycardia and ectopic beat (Papacostas and Prevedouros, 1993; Kadiyali, 1997). The paper aims at studying the level of noise pollution in Sylhet City and analyzing its level of severity. It analyses durinal variation of noise near the roadside and its relationship with traffic volume.

^{*}Corresponding author E-mail: *jahiralam@yahoo.com* Tel/Fax: +0880 2911 4285

The paper also suggests several strategies to control the level of noise pollution in the city.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sound level, its frequency spectrum and its variation over time characterize noise. Although the level of noise largely depends on the subjective perception of the hearer about the loudness, the term sound level refers to a physical measure, which is a function of the magnitude of the pressure fluctuations. The most common measures of sound level are sound intensity and sound pressure.

Sound intensity (also called sound power density) is the average rate of sound energy transmitted through a unit area perpendicular to the direction of sound propagation, typically measured in picowatts per square meter. Since no instrument is available for directly measure the power level of a source, sound pressure is employed as a measure in this regard. Sound pressure is usually proportional to the square root of sound power. Because of dealing with large range of numbers, a logarithmic measure called decibel (dB) is used to describe sound level.

The sound level in decibel is defined as follows,

Sound Level (dB) =
$$10 \log \left(\frac{P}{P_0}\right)^2 = 20 \log \left(\frac{P}{P_0}\right)$$

w nere,

- P = Root-mean-square sound pressure (Newton per square meter)
- P₀= Standard reference pressure corresponding to the weakest audible sound (20 micronewton per square meter)

For practical purpose, the decibel scale ranges from zero, the threshold of hearing, to about 140 dB, the onset of pain. For every 10dB increase in sound level, the apparent loudness of sound doubles.

In order to account for the ear's response to different levels of noise, weighing filters are used while measuring the sound level. The A-weighted sound level is devised to represent a person's subjective response to the variation of sound more accurately. The A weighted sound level, measured in decibel (dBA), is the generally accepted scale for measuring sound level in transportation. In this study, noise levels have been measured by Noise Meter (ELE₂ NL 04) at various locations from 7 am to 11 pm in four time intervals viz. 7 am-11 am; 11 am-3 pm; 3 pm-7 pm; and 7 pm to 11 pm from 2003 to 2004. Data collected was analyzed statistically to determine L_{10} , L_{90} , L_{max} , L_{e} , and L_{aeq} .

To determine the peoples' willingness to obey law, the Logit model was employed. It is basically a regression model in which the dependent variable itself dichotomous in nature taking a 1 or 0 value. The logit model given by Alam (2006) is:

$$L_{i} = In (P_{i} / (1 - P_{i})) = \alpha + \beta_{I} X_{i} + U_{i}$$

Where L_i is the log of odds ratio; L_i is called Logit, P_i is the probability of the peoples' willingness to obey law, $(1-P_i)$ is the probability of not obeying law, $P_i/(1-P_i)$ is odds ratio of people obeying law, X_i is independent variables, U_i is residual term, α is the interception, βi are the constants.

The following Logit model was fitted t the data

$$L_i = In \left(P_i / (1 - P_i) = \alpha + \beta X + \beta X + \beta X + \beta X + U_i \right)$$

Where, $L_i = 1$ if the people willingness to obey law and 0 if it is not.

 P_i = the probability of the people's willingness to obey law.

 $X_1 = Age of the respondent (yr)$

 X_2 = Experience of the respondent (yr)

 $X_3 =$ Educational qualification of the respondent

 X_4 = Annual income of the respondents' household (Tk/household)

Study area and time

Noise levels have been measured at 37 locations in Sylhet City during 7 am to 11 pm of the workdays. The study incorporated all the major locations of the city, which included City Poly Clinic and Mother Care Clinic; Royal Hospital; Govt. Girls School; Blue Bird High School; Darshan Deuri Primary School; Jameya Islamic Madrasha, Pathantula; Ananda Niketon School; Dargah Gate Primary School; Police line School; Aziz medical center, Sylhet Govt. Pilot High School. Time-weighted average noise levels have been measured at the roadside as well as at distances away from the roadside. This was done to analyze the effects of distance and existing roadside barriers on the reduction of noise level. Daily traffic volume data was collected on the both direction count basis as per Road Materials and Standard Study Bangladesh (LGED, 1998) considering the following points:

- The survey site should provide good visibility of traffic.
- Proper shelter/safety should be provided to the staff.
- Any seasonal variation in traffic should be considered.

Traffic noise index was also calculated to measure annoyance responses to motor vehicle noise using the following formula:

TNI (dBA) =
$$4 \times (L_{10} - L_{90}) + (L_{90} - 30)$$
 (dBA)

Where, L_{10} and L_{90} are the A-weighted decibel levels exceeded 10% and 90% of the time

respectively (i.e. the peak and ambient levels respectively).

Fig. 1 shows the location of the sampling points in Sylhet City (37 points).




Fig. 1: Location of sampling stations in Sylhet

Description of Arrow	Noise Level dBA					
Description of Area	DOE	FHA	AASHTO			
Sensitive areas such as parks, schools, hospitals and mosques	45	60	55-60			
Residential area	50	70 (Interior should not exceed 55dBA)	70 exterior 55 interior			
Mixed area	60	70	70			
Commercial area	70	75	75			
Industrial area	75	75	75			

Table 1: Acceptable Noise Level (dBA)

Acceptable levels of noise

Various standards are being used in different countries regarding the acceptable levels of noise depending on the situation. Limits of acceptable noise level established by different organizations are given in Table 1. The study aimed at studying the level of noise pollution in Sylhet City and analyzing its level of severity. Moreover as most of the schools and hospitals, which are particularly vulnerable, are located near the roads, noise levels at these places at different distance have been determined in order to evaluate the safe distance. The study incorporated some of the schools and hospitals located near the roadside which include City Poly Clinic and Mother Care Clinic; Royal Hospital; Goverment. Girls High School; Blue Bird High School; Darshan Deuri Primary School; Pathantula Jameya Islamia Madrasha; Ananda Niketon School; Dargah Gate Primary School; Police line School; Aziz medical center, Sylhet Goverment.

RESULTS

Table 2 and Table 3 show the situations and the noise levels of the stations.

J. B. Alame, et al., STUDY ON TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL ...

Name of Schools/hospitals	Actual distance from roadside (m)	Safe distance from roadside (m)	Traffic noise index (dBA)
City poly clinic and mother care clinic	10	94.47	88.6
Royal Hospital, Zindabazar	20	101.10	75.2
Govt. girls high school	5	102.13	97.3
Blue bird high school	30	91.28	93.9
Darshan deuri primary school	5	59	86.5
Pathantula Jameya Islamiah Madrasha	5	79.52	81.7
Ananda Niketon school	10	49.74	88.3
Amberkhana Dargah gate primary school	5	46.7	93.8
Aziz Medical Center	5	93.27	85.5
Police line high school	10	60.98	88.2
Sylhet Govt. pilot high school	15	104.77	75.8

Table 2: Actual dist	ance and safe distance	e of the selected schoo	ls and hospitals away
from	roadside and the traff	fic noise index at these	points

Source: Khan, 2005

Location	Distance (m)	Laeq	Le	L _{max}	L_{10}	L ₉₀
Rekari bazar	10	73.1	93.9	86.7	77.9	62.3
Kekali bazai	20	73.5	91.2	85.4	77.5	61.5
7.11	10	74.3	92.0	86.0	77.9	67.4
Zinda bazar	20	70.9	88.6	82.6	74.9	65.9
Bandar bazar	10	73.9	91.7	85.3	77.3	64.9
Bandar bazar	20	67.0	84.8	81.8	73.4	57.2
C-hidh	10	60.6	78.4	72.4	64	55.9
Sobid bazar	20	59.3	77.0	72.1	61.7	53.5
	0	73.8	91.6	87.6	77.2	64.1
Amborkhana	10	63.1	80.9	74.6	67.0	54.6
	20	61.2	78.9	73.4	64.6	53.1
Ch - h - t-	10	65.6	83.3	79.5	68.2	59.5
Chohata	20	58.6	76.3	72.2	62.1	53.0
T d : d	10	68.2	86.0	79.0	70.9	63.1
Londoni road	20	62.9	80.7	74.8	65.7	57.1
Dargah gata	10	69.7	87.5	79.8	73.2	63.5
Dargah gate	20	61.4	79.2	70.9	63.5	57.9
East of Amberkhana	10	67.3	85.0	78.4	70.4	61.2
East of Amberkhana	20	63.1	80.9	77.8	64.9	57.9
Lamabazar	10	57.5	77.7	72.8	62.5	50.6
Lamaoazai	20	55.9	77.3	71.2	60.7	50.6
Tati Bazar	10	70.4	88.1	83.3	71.7	63.5
Tau Dazai	20	69.2	86.9	82.7	73.3	62.8

Table 3: Noise level at different locations in Sylhet City

Table 4: Average level of noise in Sylhet City

			D	istance from roa	d side (m)		
Time Interval	0	15	30	45	60	75	90
7am-11am	78.55	74.04	69.33	65.09	60.19	55.27	50.17
11am-3pm	77.24	72.54	67.91	63.19	58.05	63.24	48.20
3pm-7pm	79.09	74.38	69.65	65.00	59.71	54.94	49.78
7pm-11pm	77.45	72.99	68.05	63.18	58.36	53.41	48.33

In order to determine the safe distance for schools and hospitals, noise levels at different locations at different times were measured. The average noise levels are shown in Table 4. From Table 4 it is observed that the noise level remained almost constant during the sixteen hours of measurement. During this time traffic composition also remained similar. Table 5 presents the variation of noise level near the road at some of locations where it has been measured and Fig. 2 depicts the relationship between traffic volume and noise level. From Table 5 it is observed that even in residential areas, the level of noise pollution is very severe for the household near the roadside. Most of the schools and hospitals, which are particularly vulnerable, are located near the roads. Noise level reduces linearly with distance unless any noise-reducing barrier is used. The following model is calibrated to estimate the effect of distance on noise reduction. Using this model, desirable positions for locating the vulnerable institutions can be obtained (Fig. 3).

Noise level (dBA) = $84.314 - 0.3886D_m$ (1) where, D_m is the distance from roadside in meter.

		1 4010	<i>5</i> . 110150 1010		10		
	Locations						
Time Interval	Zindabazar	Rikabi bazar	Amorkhana	Bandar bazar	Lama bazar	North of Amorkhana	Subid bazar
Land-use Type	Com.	Mixed	Comm.	Comm.	Resi.	Resident	Resident
7am-11am	80.08	76.24	83.27	80.07	75.87	76.16	76.25
11am-3pm	79.34	75.19	83.89	78.86	74.38	74.83	74.81
3pm-7pm	81.13	77.23	84.37	81.96	75.21	76.11	76.81
7pm-11pm	78.52	75.32	82.08	80.28	76.30	74.31	73.36

Table 5: Noise level (dBA) near road




Fig. 3: Variation of noise level with distance

Prediction of noise level in Sylhet

A detailed multiple regression analysis of the variation of road traffic noise data as a function of traffic volume (V), speed of vehicles (Q) and the distances of the observation point from the road was done by considering an approximate predictive equation (OECD, 1995).

The predictive equation has dependent variable L and three independent variables i.e log V; log Q and log d. Least square regression planes are obtained and coefficient of multiple and partial regression are calculated. Magnitude of these correlation coefficients decides how well the approximate predictive equation reproduces the actual traffic noise data. Chi-square test is used to determine how well theoretical distributions fit empirical distribution. Noise level can be described by considering approximate equation of the form. $L = \alpha + \beta \log V + \gamma \log Q + \delta \log d$

Where L=noise level, V = mean speed of traffic in km/hr, Q= traffic flow per hr, D = distance of the observation point from the near road side. Let $X_1 = L$; $X_2 = \log V$; $X_3 = \log Q$; $X_4 = \log d$

 $X_{1} = \alpha + \beta X_{2} + \gamma X_{3} + \delta X_{4}$

From field survey, different values of X_1 , X_2 , X_3 and X_4 values are obtained as shown in Table 1.

From the Table 1, the five equations based on summation of X_1 ; $X_1 X_2$; $X_1 X_3$; $X_1 X_4$ are simultaneous solved to get the values of α , β , γ and δ . The zero order, first order, second order and multiple correlation coefficients are calculated using SPSS software. Values of multiple regression coefficients indicate best correlation (as they lie between 0 and 1). Data of Table 3 has been used to predict the noise level at different distances near hospitals and educational institutions.

For L_{eq} $X_1 = \alpha + \delta = 162.93$; $\beta = -32.20$; and $\gamma = -3.49$ R = 0.604For L₉₀ $X_1 = \alpha + \delta = 115.96$; $\beta = -20.552$; and $\gamma = -6.017$ R = 0.522

A comparison of predicted value from the equation and actual measured values of L_{90} and L_{eq} are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 for L_{90} and L_{eq} respectively.

For
$$L_{eq}$$
; $r_{12} = 0.2244$; $r_{23} = 0.2187$ and $r_{13} = -0.4931$
For L_{90} ; $r_{12} = 0.2244$; $r_{23} = 0.3906$ and $r_{13} = -0.5209$

Percentage of correlation for L_{90} and L_{eq} are 52.2% and 60.4% respectively. A discrepancy between observed and predicted value is also given chi-square test. λ^2 are 0.9999 and 0.9999 for L_{10} ; L_{90} and L_{eq} , respectively.

For
$$L_{eq}$$
 $X_1 = \alpha + \delta^* \log 20 = 45.25; \beta = 13.31;$
and $\gamma = 8.05$ $R = 0.561$
For L_{90} $X_1 = \alpha + \delta^* \log 20 = 26.44; \beta = 9.972;$
and $\gamma = 7.80$ $R = 0.462$

The above model was calibrated to estimate the effect of traffic flow, speed on noise. Using the model, noise level at a particular location as well as desirable positions for locating vulnerable institutions can be obtained. From the measured data from different sides of Sylhet, it is found that noise of density is not significantly affected by residential density. The noise level on the main road near residential area, hospital area and educational area were above the recommended level (65dBA). It was found from the Figs. 4 and 5 that the predictive equations are in 60-70% correlated with the measured noise level.

People experiences with health hazards

The pattern of health hazards experienced by the workers after getting job is shown in Table 6. It is evident that a substantial portion of the workers' (24%) suffered from headache as the post-working problem. Among other problems general weakness was mentioned by 13.7%.

 Table 6: Types of health hazards experienced by pesticide users

Symptoms	Respondent of plants			
Symptoms	Number	Percentage		
Headache	35	24.13		
Vomiting	2	1.38		
Hearing effects (conductive				
hearing and sensorineural	88	60.68		
hearing)				
Weakness	20	13.7		

Determinants of obeying the law

Logit Model was applied to determine the peoples' willingness to obey the law as protective measures. As explained in the methodology section, the dependent variable was valued at one if the respondent is willing to obey law and zero if he was not willing to obey. In this model the explanatory variables were age, experience, education and household income. The calibration results of the model are presented in Table 7. The analysis showed that two variables such as education of the peoples and age are statistically significant at level 5%. The results indicate that with the increase in education level of the people, the probability of the peoples' willingness to obey the law would be higher. Similarly peoples' willingness to obey law is positively associated with increase of age implying that age is a vital determinant of obeying law.

Table 7: Results of estimated Logit function on peoples' willingness to obey law

peop	tes winnightess to ob-	cy law
Parameters	Coefficients	t-statistics
Constants	-2.18	-
Age	2.14**	6.18
Experience	0.28 ^{NS}	3.00
Education	1.186**	4.85
Income	-0.1046 ^{NS}	-3.13

DISCUSSION

Sylhet city is becoming extremely crowded. The city area is endowed with educational institutes, residential areas, hotels, community centers, restaurants, banks, pharmacies, office building,




Fig. 5: Measured and predicted noise level at 30m distance from main road for L_{uo}

health clinics, etc. but these have been developed in an unplanned way. This unplanned urbanization gave rise to severe environmental problems in the city area. The study suggests that vulnerable institutions (schools and hospitals) should be located about 60m away from the roadside unless any special arrangement to alleviate sound is used. Limitation of our prediction regressed equation is that this includes only three dependent traffic parameters- flow, mean speed and distance, which only provide one point on the cumulative time/level distribution, whereas by considering actual computer algorithm for the measurement of traffic noise, whole time level distribution can be found. Computer model is able to consider the traffic in more detail in real sense having more dependent parameters. From the measured data from

different sides of Sylhet, it is found that noise of density is not significantly affected by residential density. The noise level on the main road near residential area, hospital area and educational area are above the recommended level (65dBA). It was found that the predictive equations are in 60-70% correlated with the measured noise level. The mathematical relation developed in the study will help degree of discomfort while a road network in colony is being planned.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, K., (1998). A study noise pollution in Dhaka City. Department of Environment (DOE), Bangladesh.
- Alam, J. B., (2006). Risk assessment due to in discriminated pesticide use. J. Bangladesh Environ. Sci., 57 (4):57-64.
- Das, S., (2001). A Study on Noise Pollution in Roads in Sylhet City. B.Sc. Engineering Thesis, Department of Civil

J. B. Alame, et al., STUDY ON TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL ...

and Environmental Engineering, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet, Bangladesh.

- Kadiyali, L. R., (1997). Traffic Engineering and Transportation Planning. Khanna Publishers. 6th Ed. India.
- Khan, K. S., (2005). Environmental Degradation due to Urbanization in Sylhet City. B. Sc. Engineering Thesis, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet, Bangladesh.
- LGED (Local Government Engineering Department), (1998). Manual for Highways and Construction.
- OECD (Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development), (1995). Road side noise abatement. Road Transport Research, OECD, France.
- Papacostas, C. S. and Prevedouros, P. D., (1993). Transportation Engineering and Planning. Second Edition, Prentice Hall Publishers, USA.