INFLUENCE OF BIOAUGMENTATION IN BIODEGRADATION OF PAHs-CONTAMINATED SOIL IN BIO-SLURRY PHASE REACTOR

¹S. Nasseri, *²R. Rezaei Kalantary, ³N. Nourieh, ¹K. Naddafi, ¹A. H. Mahvi, ¹N. Baradaran

Received 13 January 2010; revised 11 May 2010; accepted 20 June 2010

ABSTRACT

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS) are important pollutants which have toxic, carcinogenic and mutagenic properties and are considered as a serious hazard to human health and environment. Bioremediation of PAHs contaminated soil was studied in the soil slurry phase bioreactor. For enhancement of biodegradation, bioaugmentation (which is the process of adding microorganisms with the potential of pollution biodegradation to the bio-slurry reactor) was applied. Phenanthrene (C₁₄H₁₀), a three-benzene ring PAHs, was added in concentration of 100mg/kg soil. Two isolated species and consortium of bacteria were inoculated to the medium in density of 7×10^7 CFU/mL. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for finding of optimum levels of type of bacterial culture and presence effect of endogenous factors. The base of the bacteria was petroleum-contaminated soil from around Tehran petroleum Refinery. Control reactor (killed bacteria) showed 5% loss of phenanthrene and biodegradation in the non-augmented reactor (endogenous microorganisms) in a slurry bioreactor was about 17%. In the case of bioaugmentation with *Pseudomonas.spp*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and consortium, phenanthrene degradation efficiency were 87.8%, 85.5% and 92.8%, respectively, presenting the positive effect of biodegradation in consortium augmented compared to the isolated one. Colony forming units (CFUs) variation showed good conformity and agreement with the performance of the reactors with respect to phenanthrene degradation. Hence, the results of this experiment show that bioaugmentation may be considered as an effective method to enhance the bioremediation in removal of PAHs from contaminated soils.

Key words: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; Phenanthrene; Bioremediation; Bioaugmentation; Native microorganism

INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS) are important pollutants which are introduced into the environment through different ways such as anthropogenic activities, combustion, undesirable discharging of oil tankers, spills around petroleum refineries and gas plant facilities (Liebeg and Cutright, 1999; Leblond *et al.*, 2001; Mahvi and Mardani, 2005; Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2008; Zhao *et al.*, 2008).

and mutagenic properties (Mrozik *et al.*, 2003; Ganjidoust and Naghizadeh, 2005; Samimi *et al.*, 2009) and are considered as a serious hazard to human health and environment (Karimi-Lotfabad *et al.*, 1996; Yerushalmi and Guiot, 2001; Delnavaz *et al.*, 2008; Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2008; Anastasi *et al.*, 2009; Larsen *et al.*, 2009; Muckian *et al.*, 2009;). PAHs are categorized as priority environmental pollutant by United State Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and European commission (EU).

These compounds have toxic, carcinogenic

*Corresponding author: E-mail: : rrkalantary@iums.ac.ir Tel: 021 88 77 91 18

¹ Department of Environment Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Department of Environment Health Engineering, School of Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Department of Environment Health Engineering , School of Public Health, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khoramabad, Iran

Bioremediation in which microorganisms are used to metabolize petroleum compounds is a cost – effective alternative for cleaning up PAHscontaminated soils (Akhavan et al., 2008; Wong, et al., 2002). Three important factors affect the effectiveness of bioremediation: environmental, physical and chemical factors. The most important physical factor is availability of contaminant for the microorganisms. Availability is a complicated concept involving affinity of contaminants for the solid and gas phases and presence of the necessary microbial communities. PAHs are nonpolar and tend to partition onto the solid phase, which results in very low liquid phase contaminant concentration. In bioremediation, the most important chemical factors are the molecular structure and biodegradability (Ewies et al., 1998; Rittman and McCarty, 2001). Because of low bioavailability, hydrophobicity, toxicity and complex structures of PAHs derivatives. bioremediation of these contaminants are complex (Venkata Mohan et al., 2008).

For soils in which the microorganisms do not have the ability of biodegradation of these compounds, bioaugmentation is recommended as a process for enhancement of the ratio of bioremediation. Bioaugmentation is the addition of endogenous or genetically engineered microorganisms (GEM) with the potential of pollution biodegradation (Vogel, 1996; Limbergen *et al.*, 1998; Zhang *et al.*, 2000; Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2005; Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2008).

The use of native microflora for bioaugmentation is prefered because these microorganisms have more ability for adaptation to particular pollutant than non-endogenous microorganisms (Silva et al., 2009) and using GEMs may be lead to gene transfer which is not desirable (Urgun-Demirtas et al., 2006). Jacques reported that Kästner by using PAH degrader bacteria could achieve to about six and ten fold increasing in biodegradation of pyrene and anthracene respectively (Jacques et al., 2008).

Phenanteren a three-ring angular PAH was used as a model of PAHs (Samanta *et al.*, 1999; Vossoughi *et al.*, 2002). The bioslurry reactor is a recent area of interest to environmentalists in bioremediation studies because the bacteria and

hazardous materials are in a solid-liquid medium under predefined optimized control system (Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2004). Mixing in slurry-phase results in agitation and surface scrubbing that can result in the release of some of the adsorbed contaminants.

The objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of bioaugmentation in an ex-situ slurry phase by inoculation of isolated and consortium of high potential PAHs biodegrader bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical agents

Phenanthrene with purity of 98% and acetonitrile in HPLC grade were purchased from Merck Company. Nutrient agar and R2A agar were purchased form Hi Media. Chemical materials for mineral salt medium (MSM) were purchased from Merck, Sigma and Aldrich Chemical Companies.

Adaptation

Contaminated soil for preparing PAHs microbial culture was taken from surrounding of Tehran refinery because of long time contact and suitable properties(Alimohammadi *et al.*, 2005). Bacteria were grown in an aqueous MSM contained per litre: 0.8 g K₂HPO4, 0.2 g KH₂PO4 1 g KNO₃, 0.2 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.1 g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.1 g NaCl, 0.01 g FeCl₃·6H₂O and 1 mL trace element solution. The trace element solution contained per liter:23 mg MnCl₂·2H₂O, 30 mg MnCl₄, 32 mg H₃BO₃, 39 mg CoCl₂·2H₂O, 50 mg ZnCl₂, 30 mg NaMnO₄·2H₂O and 20 mg NiCl₂ (Ressler *et al.*, 1999).

For preparing microbial culture 100 mL MSM was added to 10 g of contaminated soil (10% w:v). The mixture was stirred for 24 hours using a magnetic stirrer. After 20 minutes for settling, one mL of supernatant was added to 50mL sterile MSM plus phenanthrene. The MSM was freshened every week to prevent any deficiency of nutrients and carbon source. After one month, the adapted microorganisms were brought on nutrient agar for supplying later experiments (Rezaei Kalantary and Badkoubi, 2004).

Experimental methods

Two isolated cultures and a consortium of bacteria which had the potential of PAHs biodegradation were used for inoculation in bioagmentation. Soil was passed through 2mm sieve, then was spiked with the phenanthrene at a ratio of 100 mg/kg soil.

The soil was inoculated with bacterial culture which was prepared in MSM. The optical density of the culture at 630 nm was 1 (OD at $E_{630 \text{ nm}}=1$) (Ressler *et al.*, 1999). Experiments were conducted in 1L flasks each containing 40g soil and 400mL MSM.

In order to find the optimum levels of type of bacterial culture and presence effect of endogenous factors, full-factorial design was applied. Statistical method was analysis of variance (ANOVA).

The sample had the similar blanks; B₁ was the sterile soil and B₂ was the nonsterile soil (with endogenous microflora). CB₁, CB₂ and CB₃ were the sterile soils, inoculated with the same culture as samples. All of these soils, were spiked before inoculation with the same concentration of phenantherene with their similar samples. MB₁, MB₂ and MB₃ were the sterile soil with the same inoculation and without spike of phenanthrene (Table 1). Samples and blanks were put in the shaker at the velocity of 180 rpm in room temperature for two months.

Table 1: Summary of experimental tests

Run	Test name	Micr	Phenanthrene concentration	
		Endogenous		
1	S_1	+	Mix	100
2	S_2	+	Pseudomonas.spp	100
3	S_3	+	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	100
4	B_1	-		100
5	B_2	+		100
6	CB_1	-	Mix	100
7	CB_2	-	Pseudomonas.spp	100
8	CB_3	-	Pseudomonas	100
9	MB_1	-	Mix	
10	MB_2	-	Pseudomonas.spp	
11	MB_3	-	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	

Extraction and Analysis

Phenanthrene was extracted from the soil according to EPA 3550B, by using ultrasonic (RK31 h, Bandeling Electronic Sonorex). Samples were analyzed by HPLC (KNAUER Company). The column of HPLC was C18 and the solvent was 95% acetonitril and 5% DDW.

It was detected at 254nm. Microbial population was measured by using plate count agar or HPC (heterothrophic plate count media)(Arbabi *et al.*, 2004).

RESULTS

After enrichment and isolation, four spices were isolated: *Pseudomonas.spp, Bacillus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter.* The selections of *Pseudomonas.spp* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for developing the bacterial inoculation were based on their demonstrated success for PAHs biodegradation (Nourieh *et al.,* 2010). Hence these two cultures and consortium of bacteria were used for bioaugmentation investigation.

An important decrease in the phenanthrene

concentration was observed in all systems (augmented and non-augmented), except for abiotic control, during the first 3 weeks (Fig. 1). Bioaugmentation in all cases showed lower values of residual phenanthrene, but this amount in consortium inoculation was more than the others (Figs. 2-4), however it was not significant (Fig. 1).

The trend of phenanthrene removal in




Fig 1: Phenanthrene degradation profile during augmented and non-augmented operations




Fig 2: Trend of phenanthrene removal in bioaugmentation with consortium

bioaugmentation with consortium is shown in Fig. 2. The abiotic control (B1) shows 5% loss in pollutant and the endogenous microorganisms degraded about 17% of phenanthrene in 2 months (Fig. 2). According to the analysis of variance

(ANOVA), the F-ratio for inoculated culture and endogenous microorganisms were about 88.3 and 21.7, respectively (Table 2).

The bacterial population after inoculation to




Fig 3: Trend of phenanthrene removal in bioaugmentation with *Pseudomonas*.spp

Source	Sum of squares	DF	Mean squares	F ratio	P value	PE%
Culture	7020.62	3	2340.21	88.34	0.0020	80.28
Endogenous	574.94	1	574.94	21.70	0.0187	19.72
Residual	79.47	3	26.49			
Core Total	7675.04	7				

Table 2: Summary of analysis of variance




Fig 4: Trend of phenanthrene removal in bioaugmentation with Pseudomonas aeruginosa

sterile and non-sterile systems was about 7×10^7 and 5×10^8 CFU m/L respectively (Figs. 5-6). After initial decreasing about 20-300 fold of magnitude in the first week, it increased in 4-6

fold of magnitude in two months (Fig. 6). The population decrease in the blanks continued till the end of the tests (Figs. 5 and 7).




Fig 5: CFU variation in mix culture augmented, non-augmented and endogenous during bio-slurry phase reactor operation




Fig 6: CFU variation in augmented samples and endogenous during bio-slurry phase reactor operation




Fig 7: CFU variation in the blanks and samples during 8 weeks

DISCUSSION

The two cultures with high potential for bioremediation which were used for bioaugmentation investigation were gram negative. Mrozik *et al* (2003) had reported that most of PAHs degradable bacteria are gram negative (Mrozik *et al.*, 2003).

The phenanthrene concentration on the 60th day in S₁, B₁, B₂ and CB₁ (Table 1) were 7.25, 95, 83and 35 mg/kg soil respectively. The reduction of 5% in B₁ which had not any microorganisms may be related to the bounding between phenanthrene and soil texture and aging after two month (Hwang and Cutright, 2002) or may be lost. Ruberto *et al*, (2003) in their research, in spite of poisoning the culture, obserred 30% loss in control (Ruberto *et al.*, 2003).

Comparison between the other tests shows that the biodegradation in B₂ (with the endogenous microflora) was less than 20%. Hence the endogenous microflora in a bioslurry system had a weak efficiency (Jacques *et al.*, 2008) and it was about 75% and 50% less than the system with inoculated of consortium to non-sterile and sterile systems, respectively. The F-ratio would also confirm it.

Hamdi et al. (2007) used bioaugmentation/ biostimulation for enhancement of PAHs biodegradation (Hamdi et al., 2007). In our research the bioaugmentation had removal efficiency about 85-93%, while Ruberto et al. (2003) reported only about 75% in the best condition (Ruberto et al., 2003). The phenanthrene removal in co-cultivation of Acinetobacter sp. and rice was 87% (Gao et al., 2006). Comparison between CB₁ and S₁ shows that removal in an augmented system (S₁) was about 25% more than the other one. On the other hand, inoculation to a non-sterile system had more efficiency than inoculation to a sterile system, so augmentation could improve the rate of bioremediation (Venkata Mohan et al., 2008), which does not agree with Silva et al., 2009, who had reported that bioaugmentation had not significantly effect on bioremediation of low molecular weight PAHs (Silva et al., 2009). Yu et al, (2005) did not observe any significant difference between natural attenuation and bioaugmentation in phenanthrene removal, but it was in pyrene elimination. They related it to negative interaction which may have occurred between inoculums and endogenous microbial community (Yu et al., 2005).

The culturable microoganisms which can grow in the presence of phenanthrene can be represented by CFU (Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2008) which was periodically monitored in the reactors during the experiment time. The bacterial population decreased in all testes in about 7 days; Ramirez *et al.*, 2002 had observed the same decrease in their research (Ramirez *et al.*, 2001). The decreasing in B₂ was prolonged till the end of the test which may be due to nonpotential of native soil microflora in phenanthrene biodegradation (Venkata Mohan *et al.*, 2008).

On the other hand, the bacteria were reproduced on a nutrient agar which is a ready medium for consumption. When these bacteria were inoculated to the soil in which the only carbon source is phenamnthrene, they were transferred from a banquet medium to a famine medium which makes the reduction in population and reproduction (Rittman and McCarty, 2001).

Also the interaction or competition between the autochthonous and the enriched microbes may result in the inhibitory effect in bioaugmentation in the initial days (Yu et al., 2005) which may be the other reason for decreasing in bacterial population. The second decreasing in population may be related to substrate reduction or toxic intermediate production (Ruberto et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2006).

Comparison between the isolated and consortium inoculation (S₁, S₂ and S₃) shows that the phenanthrene biodegradation in augmented consortium was more than the isolated one. It may be due to the ability of certain strains in removal of intermediates produced by other members of consortium which facilites the phenanthrene removal. Leblond *et al* (2001) showed that a mixture of four different species was more effective than each single one in PAHs biodegradation (Leblond *et al.*, 2001).

Jacques *et al* (2008) reported that the significant synergistic promotion of PAHs mineralization was occurring by mixture of bacteria (Jacques *et al.*, 2008). Churchill *et al.* 1999 reported that use of isolated bacteria for

biodegradation prolonged more than the time needed for mixulture(Churchill *et al.*, 1999). Fig. 1 shows that 50% reduction of pollution by isolated *Pseudomonas.SPP* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* occurred in 18 and 20 days, respectively, but it took 14 days for consortium. The phenanthrene removal by isolated *Pseudomonas.SPP*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and consortium were 87.75%, 85.52% and 92.75%, respectively.

The trend of phenanthrene concentration changes shows after a lag phase in pure cases, decreasing was rapid till the 37th day; then it became slow. This is similar to Silva *et al*, (2009) who reported that the non-available fraction is due to physical interaction with soil matrix (Silva *et al.*, 2009). The rate of biodegradation in S₁, B₂ and CB₁ were 1.55, 0.3 and 1.3 mg/kg /day. The removal in augmented system was about 5 times higher than native microflora.

As a conclusion the results of our experiment show that bioaugmentation may be considered as a valuable method to enhance the bioremediation in removal of PAHs in contaminated soils.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the personel of the laboratories of the Department of Environmental Health Engineering at Tehran University of Medical Sciences for their supportive services.

REFERENCES

Akhavan, S. A., Dezhban, G., Emami, M., Nakhoda, A. M., (2008). ISOLATION AND characterization of crude oil degrading bacillus spp. Iran. J. Environ. Health sci & Eng., 5: 149-154.

Alimohammadi M, Mesdaghinia AR, Mahmoodi M, Nasseri S, Mahvi AH, Nouri J. 2005. Biodegradation of MTBE by a microorganism consortium. Iran. J. of Environ. Health Sci. & Eng., 2:237-246.

Anastasi, A., Coppola, T., Prigione, V., Varese, G., (2009). Short communication: Pyrene degradation and detoxification in soil by a consortium of basidiomycetes isolated from compost: Role of laccases and peroxidases. J. Hazard. Mater. **165**: 1229-1233.

Arbabi, M., Nasseri, S., Mesdaghinia, A., Rezaie, S., Naddafi, K., Omrani, G., Yunesian, M., (2004). Survey on Physical, Chemical and Microbiological Characteristics of PAH-Contaminated Soils in Iran. Iran. J. Environ. Health

- Sci. & Eng., 1:30-37.
- Churchill, S., Harper, J., Churchill, P., (1999). Isolation and characterization of a Mycobacterium species capable of degrading three-and four-ring aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons. Appl and Environ Microb., **65**: 549-552.
- Delnavaz M, Ayati B, Ganjidoust H. (2008). Biodegradation Of Aromatic Amine Compounds Using Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors. Iran. J. of Environ. Health Sci. & Eng., 5:243-250.
- Ewies, J., Ergas, S., Chang, D., Schroeder, E., (1998). "Bioremediation principles" Mc Graw-Hill.
- Ganjidoust, H.,and Naghizadeh, G., (2005). Interaction's effect of organic material and aggregation on extraction efficiency of tphs from petroleum contaminated soils with MAE. Iran J. Environ. Health Sci. & Eng., 2: 213-220.
- Gao, Y., Yu, X., Wu, S., Cheung, K., Tam, N., Qian, P., Wong, M., (2006). Interactions of rice (Oryza sativa L.) and PAH-degrading bacteria (Acinetobacter sp.) on enhanced dissipation of spiked phenanthrene and pyrene in waterlogged soil. Science of the Total Environment, The 372: 1-11.
- Hamdi, H., Benzarti, S., Manusadianas, L., Aoyama, I., Jedidi, N., (2007). Bioaugmentation and biostimulation effects on PAH dissipation and soil ecotoxicity under controlled conditions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry., 39: 1926-1935.
- Hwang, S., and Cutright, T., (2002). Biodegradability of aged pyrene and phenanthrene in a natural soil. *Chemosphere.*, 47: 891-899
- Jacques, R., Okeke, B., Bento, F., Teixeira, A., Peralba, M., Camargo, F., (2008). Microbial consortium bioaugmentation of a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons contaminated soil. *Bioresource Technology.*, **99**: 2637-2643.
- Karimi-Lotfabad, S., Pickard, M., Gray, M., (1996). Reactions of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons on soil. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **30**: 1145-1151.
- Larsen, S., Karakashev, D., Angelidaki, I., Schmidt, J., (2009). Ex-situ bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sewage sludge. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 164: 1568-1572.
- Leblond, J., Wayne Schultz, T., Sayler, G., (2001). Observations on the preferential biodegradation of selected components of polyaromatic hydrocarbon mixtures. *Chemosphere* **42**: 333-343.
- Liebeg, E., and Cutright, T., (1999). The investigation of enhanced bioremediation through the addition of macro and micro nutrients in a PAH contaminated soil. Int .Biodeter & Biodegr., 44: 55-64.
- Limbergen, H., Top, E., Verstraete, W., (1998). Bioaugmentation in activated sludge: current features and future perspectives. Appl Microbiol and Biot., **50**: 16-23.
- Mahvi AH, Mardani G. 2005. Determination of Phenanthrene in Urban Runoff of Tehran, Capital of Iran. Iranian Journal of Environmental Health, Science and Engineering 2.
- Mrozik, A., Piotrowska-Seget, Z., Labuzek, S., (2003). Bacterial degradation and bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Polish J.Environ.Studies 12:15-26
- Muckian, L., Grant, R., Clipson, N., Doyle, E., (2009). Bacterial community dynamics during bioremediation

- of phenanthrene-and fluoranthene-amended soil. Int. Biodeter Biodegr., **63**: 52-56.
- Norieh, N., Nasseri, S., Rezaei Kalantary, R., Naddafi, K., Mahvi, A.H., (2010). Isolation and Survey Biodegradiation Potential of Phenanthrene degrading bacteria Yafteh XX, XX (in press).
- Ramirez, N., Cutright, T., Ju, L., (2001). Pyrene biodegradatin in aqueous solutions and soil slurries by Mycobacterium PYR-1 and enriched consortium. Chemosphere., 44:1079-1086
- Ressler, B., Kneifel, H., Winter, J., (1999). Bioavailability of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and formation of humic acid-like residues during bacterial PAH degradation. Appl Microbiol and Biot, **53**:85-91.
- Rezaei Kalantary, R., and Badkoubi, A., (2004). Bioremediation of antheracene in supper saturated media by mixed culture. Modares Ttechnical and Engineering., 14: 39-46.
- Rittman, B., and McCarty, P., (2001). Environmental biotechnology: Principles and applications. Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Ruberto, L., Vazquez, S., Mac Cormack, W., (2003). Effectiveness of the natural bacterial flora, biostimulation and bioaugmentation on the bioremediation of a hydrocarbon contaminated Antarctic soil. Int Biodeter & Biodegr., **52**:115-125.
- Samanta, S., Chakraborti, A., Jain, R., (1999). Degradation of phenanthrene by different bacteria: evidence for novel transformation sequences involving the formation of 1-naphthol. App Microbiol and Biot, **53**: 98-107.
- Samimi SV, Rad RA, Ghanizadeh F. (2009). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon contamination levels in collected samples from vicinity of a highway. Iran. J. of Environ. Health Sci. & Eng., 6:47-52.
- Silva, I., Santos, E., Menezes, C., Faria, A., Franciscon, E., Grossman, M., Durrant, L. (2009). Bioremediation of a polyaromatic hydrocarbon contaminated soil by native soil microbiota and bioaugmentation with isolated microbial consortia. Bioresource Technology.
- Urgun-Demirtas, M., Stark, B., Pagilla, K., (2006). Use of genetically engineered microorganisms (GEMs) for the bioremediation of contaminants. Critical reviews in biotechnology., **26**: 145-164.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Prasanna, D., Purushotham Reddy, B., Sarma, P., (2008). Ex situ bioremediation of pyrene contaminated soil in bio-slurry phase reactor operated in periodic discontinuous batch mode: Influence of bioaugmentation. Int.Biodeter and Biodegr., **62**: 162-169.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Rao, N., Prasad, K., Sarma, P., (2005). Bioaugmentation of an anaerobic sequencing batch biofilm reactor (AnSBBR) with immobilized sulphate reducing bacteria (SRB) for the treatment of sulphate bearing chemical wastewater. Process Biochemistry., 40: 2849-2857.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Shailaja, S., Rama krishna, M., Reddy, K., Sarma, P., (2006). Bioslurry phase degradation of DEP contaminated soil in periodic discontinuous mode operation: influence of augmentation and substrate partition. *Process Biochem.*, 41:644–652.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Sirisha, K., Rao, N., Sarma, P., Reddy,

- S., (2004). Degradation of chlorpyrifos contaminated soil by bioslurry reactor operated in sequencing batch mode: bioprocess monitoring. J. Hazard. Mater., **116**: 39-48.
- Vogel, T., (1996). Bioaugmentation as a soil bioremediation approach. Current opinion in biotechnology, 7: 311-316.
- Vossoughi, M., Yaghmaei, S., Alemzadeh, I., Safekordi, A., (2002). Some Investigation on Bioremediation of PAHs Contaminated Soil in Iran Tar Refinery. Int. J. Eng. Ttransactions *B.*, **15**: 1-10.
- Wong J, Lai K, Wan C, Ma K, Fang M. (2002). Isolation and optimization of PAH-degradative bacteria from contaminated soil for PAHs bioremediation. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 139:1-13.
- Yerushalmi, L.,and Guiot, S., (2001). Biodegradation of benzene in a laboratory-scale biobarrier at low dissolved oxygen concentrations. Bioremediation Journal., 5: 63-77.
- Yu, K., Wong, A., Yau, K., Wong, Y., Tam, N., (2005). Natural attenuation, biostimulation and bioaugmentation on biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in mangrove sediments. Marine pollution bulletin., 51: 1071-1077.
- Zhang, C., Hughes, J., Nishino, S., Spain, J., (2000). Slurry-phase biological treatment of 2, 4-dinitrotoluene and 2, 6-dinitrotoluene: Role of bioaugmentation and effects of high dinitrotoluene concentrations. Environ. Sci. Technol., 34: 2810-2816.
- Zhao, H., Wang, L., Ren, J., Li, Z., Li, M., Gao, H., (2008). Isolation and characterization of phenanthrene-degrading strains Sphingomonas sp. ZP1 and Tistrella sp. ZP5. J. Hazard. Mater., **152**: 1293-1300.